## SPT versus MST



Graph

SPT – cost 22

MST – cost 15

counter example: in the MST the **path a to d** is NOT the shortest path (7 versus 5 in the SPT) ditto: **a to e** (9 versus 7 in the SPT)

31/08/2016

DSA - SPT vs MST

- Principle
  - Given a <u>path</u> x → z check if there exists a node y such that the path length x → y → z is shorter than the currently calculated path length x → z
  - Node y is chosen to be the shortest path from x
  - An example using the above graph follows

- Graph & initialisation (edges) from node a
- Path a-1-c (cost 1) is the cheapest path



Now calculate alternative paths via c

• Calculate paths via c to unvisited = {b, d, e, f}, visited = {a, c}



- a-1-c-5-b (cost 6) not cheaper than a-6-b (cost 6)
- a-1-c-5-d (cost 6) not cheaper than a-5-d (cost 5)
- a-1-c-6-e (cost 7) cheaper than a-§-e (no path)
- a-1-c-4-f (cost 5) cheaper than a-§-f (no path)

- Calculate paths via d to unvisited = {b, e, f}, visited = {a, c, d}
- a-5-d (cost 5) is the cheapest path to an unvisited node



- a-5-d-§-b (cost §) not cheaper than a-6-b (cost 6)
- a-5-d-§-e (cost §) not cheaper than a-1-c-6-e (cost 7)
- a-5-d-2-f (cost 7) not cheaper than a-1-c-4-f (cost 5)
- No change to the SPT

- Calculate paths via f to unvisited = {b, e}, visited = {a, c, d, f}
- a-1-c-4-f (cost 5) is the cheapest path to an unvisited node



- a-1-c-4-f-§-b (cost §) not cheaper than a-6-b (cost 6)
- a-1-c-4-f-6-e (cost 11) not cheaper than a-1-c-6-e (cost 7)
- No change to the SPT

- Calculate paths via b to unvisited = {e}, visited = {a, c, d, f, b}
- a-6-b (cost 6) is the cheapest path to an unvisited node



- a-6-b-3-e (cost 9) not cheaper than a-1-c-6-e (cost 7)
- No change to the SPT

- Calculate paths via e to unvisited = {¤}, visited = {a, c, d, f, b, e}
- a-1-6-e (cost 7) is the cheapest path to an unvisited node



- The unvisited node set is empty STOP
- No change to the SPT

- Graph & initialisation (edges) from node a
- Edge a-1-c (cost 1) is the cheapest edge



• Now calculate **alternative** <u>edges</u> from c

• Calculate edges from c to unvisited = {b, d, e, f}, visited = {a, c}



- c-5-b is cheaper than a-6-b replace a-6-b with c-5-b
- c-6-e is cheaper than a-§-e (no edge)
- c-4-f is cheaper than a-§-f (no edge)

- Calculate edges from f to unvisited = {b, d, e}, visited = {a, c, f}
- c-4-f is the cheapest edge from component a-c



- f-§-b is not cheaper than c-5-b
- f-2-d is cheaper than a-5-e replace a-5-d with f-2-d
- f-6-e is not cheaper than c-6-e

- Calculate edges from d to unvisited = {b, e}, visited = {a, c, f, d}
- f-2-d is the cheapest edge from component a-c-f



- d-§-b is not cheaper than c-5-b
- d-§-e is not cheaper than c-6-e
- No change

- Calculate edges from b to unvisited = {e}, visited = {a, c, f, d, b}
- c-5-b is the cheapest edge from component a-c-f-d



• b-3-e – is cheaper than c-6-e – replace c-6-e with b-3-e

unvisited = {¤} i.e. is empty, visited = {a, c, f, d, b, e}



- Prims has finished
- The result may be confirmed using Kruskal (see below)
- PQ: a-1-c, d-2-f, b-3-e, c-4-f, c-5-b, a-5-d, c-5-d, a-6-b, c-6-e, e-6-f

#### Kruskal – worked example

• PQ: a-1-c, d-2-f, b-3-e, c-4-f, c-5-b,

a-5-d, c-5-d, a-6-b, c-6-e, e-6-f



# Comments: Dijkstra & Prim

- Dijkstra uses path lengths remember this!!!
- Prim uses edges

- remember this!!!
- Both Dijkstra & Prim "grow" a single component
- Kruskals "grows" several components which merge
- **Dijkstra** yields an SPT Shortest Path Tree
- **Prim** yields an MST Minimal Spanning Tree
- Kruskal yields an MST Minimal Spanning Tree
- Dijkstra & Prim are frequently confused in the exam!!!